



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 12114/2025

Between:

1. SUNKARA PURNA CHANDRA RAO, C/O BAPAIH, AGE 65 YEARS, RESIDENT OF FLAT NO. 401-402, JAYAPRADHA FORTUNEOPPOSITE TO JMJ COLLEGE, TENALI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522201
2. KURRA SUVARNA LAKSHMI ALIAS SUVARNA KUMARI, AGE 68 YEARSW/O SUBASH BOSE, RESIDENT OF D. NO. 31-7-4,OPPOSITE J.M.J COLLEGE, SARALA NAGAR, TENALI-522201.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR THROUGH VEMURU POLICE STATION, BAPATIA DISTRICT,FLIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI
2. ANNE NAGA BABY SINDUSHA, W/O SUNKARA RAJA BHEESHMA, AGE 33 YEARS. RESIDENT OF VEMURU VILLAGE AND MANDAL,BAPTLA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

BANKA NAGESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

- 1.RATAN MOHAN MEDIDA
- 2.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity 'the Cr.P.C.,')/Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNS')seeking to quash the proceedings against the Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 & 3 in C.C.No.239 of 2025 on the file of the learned II Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Tenali for the offences punishable under Section 85 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNS'), Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (for brevity, 'the D.P.Act').

2. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Petitioner No.1/Accused No.2 is the father-in-law of Respondent No.2, and Petitioner No.2/Accused No.3 is the aunt of Accused No.1. The petitioners are aged about 65 and 68 years respectively. The trial is presently pending before the learned Trial Court, and the material prosecution witnesses have already been examined. A Single Judge of this Court has earlier granted an order

dispensing with the personal appearance of the petitioners before the learned Trial Court.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners now urges this Court to make absolute the order dispensing with their personal appearance. The learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.2 submits that there is no objection to granting the said relief.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Criminal Petition is disposed of directing the learned Trial Court not to insist upon the presence of the petitioners during the trial, except on crucial dates, namely for the purpose of examination of the petitioners under Section 313 of 'the Cr.P.C', and at the time of pronouncement of judgment.

6. In the result, the Criminal Petition is disposed of.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 22.01.2026
PRA

84

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12114 of 2025

Date:22.01.2026

PRA