SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPCRL/1698/2026 Padam Singh Rana --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Akshay Pradhan, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, A.G.A. and Mr. Manoj Bhatt, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking transfer of the investigation of F.I.R. No.0104 of 2026, registered at Police Station Raipur, District Dehradun, from the said Police Station to some other Police Station in District Dehradun. 3. The grievance of the petitioner, as projected in the writ petition, is that without issuing any notice to him, the Investigating Officer called him to the Police Station. It is submitted that since the petitioner has undergone spine surgery and has been advised complete bed rest; therefore, he sent his son along with an Advocate to the Police Station. It is alleged that, during their presence at the Police Station, the Investigating Officer compelled them to enter into a compromise with the complainant. 4. Learned State Counsel was directed to obtain instructions in the matter. Today, on the basis of instructions received, learned State Counsel has denied the allegations made in the writ petition. It is submitted that the petitioner was telephonically asked to appear at the Police Station in connection with the investigation; however, instead of appearing himself, he sent his son along with the other co-accused, who is

an Advocate. It is submitted that they appeared before the Investigating Officer at about 08:04 p.m. and, after making enquiries regarding the case, they left the Police Station. It is, thus, submitted that no coercion or pressure was exerted upon them by the Investigating Officer.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner apprehends harassment at the hands of the Investigating Officer and that he is being subjected to pressure to enter into an agreement/compromise with the complainant.

6. Having regard to the grievance raised by the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to a direction that, in the event his presence is required by the Investigating Officer in connection with the investigation of the aforesaid case, he may be afforded reasonable advance notice in accordance with law.

7. Learned State Counsel, on instructions, has no objection to the aforesaid prayer.

8. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that, in the event the personal presence of the petitioner is required by the Investigating Officer in connection with the investigation of F.I.R. No. 0104 of 2026, registered at Police Station Raipur, District Dehradun, the petitioner shall be given advance notice in accordance with Section 35(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
23.09.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=aabb68a3895e41937c266c239f64c048536 5445e3a20ddddb7393398f9fe45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=065FC17022BEAE3DE215D68D9D45 4C5109CB98D446351E4DF04AADAA2C2CEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.09.23 16:24:29 +05'30'