IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1778 of 2026

Kavita Shukla @ Dr. Kavita Shukla @ Kavita, aged about 32 years, W/o Vipin Shukla, R/o Room No.102, B Wing, Sree Sadguru Apartment, Rahil Nagar, Kalyan East, PO and PS – Kalyan East, District – Thane (Maharashtra)

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand **.... Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Lukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State :- Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Advocate

02/***16.06.2026*** Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Cyber P.S. (Dhanbad) Case No.49 of 2024, for the alleged offences registered under Sections 319(2), 318(4) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66(C) and 66(D) of I.T. Act pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge, Cyber Cases, Dhanbad.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is victim of circumstances. He further submits that the petitioner is a housewife and her husband is a Guard in Private Security Agency. He then submits that one Ajay Sharma and Ajay Mishra allured the petitioner and other 17 to 20 women to provide

the job and pursuant to that they have taken the details of account number of the petitioner and others and directed the petitioner to change the mobile link of the said account and she has done so and thereafter a sum of Rs.6 lacs has been alleged to be transferred in the account of the petitioner. He also submits that however the said amount has been withdrawn in Alwar in the State of Rajasthan. He next submits that when it has been transpired to the petitioner of the credit of the said amount in the account she has complained to the cyber police station by way of Annexure-3 on 07.12.2025. He next submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in paragraph No.17 of the petition.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of crediting of the amount in the account of the petitioner which has been withdrawn later on by another person in the State of Rajasthan. On these grounds, he submits that the anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that the petitioner is said to be a lady and on the allurement of providing employment she has provided bank details to one Ajay Sharma and Ajay Mishra and they have got the mobile link changed with the account of the petitioner and thereafter the amount has been credited which has been withdrawn by another person in the State of Rajasthan. Annexure-3 is a document which prima facie suggests that the said amount was

credited and the petitioner has approached the cyber police station about the said credit in the account of the petitioner. In the attending facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to provide anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is hereby directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today, and in the event of his surrender/arrest, the petitioner, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand), with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge, Cyber Cases, Dhanbad in connection with Cyber P.S. (Dhanbad) Case No.49 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated 16.06.2026
Sangam/