



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

MONDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 7636/2026

Between:

1. ANJINAPPAGARI HANUMANTARAYA,, S/O. LATE ANJANAPPA, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, R/O. HOSAHATTI, HEMAVATHI VILLAGE, AMARAPURAM MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.
2. ANJINAPPAGARI NAGARAJU,, S/O. LATE ANJANAPPA, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O. HOSAHATTI, HEMAVATHI VILLAGE, AMARAPURAM MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.
3. ANJINAPPAGARI SHASI KUMAR,, S/O. LATE ANJANAPPA, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, R/O. HOSAHATTI, HEMAVATHI VILLAGE, AMARAPURAM MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522238
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT-515134
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, AMARAPURAM POLICE STATION, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT-533201
4. RAMAPPA, S/O. OBULESAPPA, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
5. SHANTAMMA, W/O. RAMAPPA, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS.
6. UR ANJINAPPA, S/O. RAMAPPA, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS. RESPONDENTS NO. 4 TO 6 ARE RESIDENT OF UPPARHATTI

VILLAGE, HEMAVATHI, AMARAPURAM MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI
DISTRICT.-533201

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.P NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

“...to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent police in interfering in the civil disputes pending between the petitioners and respondents no. 4 to 6 in respect of the land being an extent of Ac. 0.46 cents in Sy. No. 242-3.D situated at Hemavathi Village, Amarapuram Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District is as illegal, arbitrary, violation of Art. 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and contrary to the procedure prescribed under the law and consequently direct the 3rd respondent not to interfere in the above said civil dispute...”

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Mr. P. Narasimhulu, learned counsel for the Petitioners, submits that Respondent No.3 is interfering in the civil disputes pending between the Petitioners and Respondent Nos.4 to 6 in respect of land measuring Ac.0.46 cents in Sy.No.242-3.D, situated at Hemavathi Village, Amarapuram Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that based on the representation of Respondent No.4 dated 12.03.2026, Respondent No.3 summoned both parties for a preliminary enquiry and advised them to approach the concerned Civil Court. He further

submits that the Respondent Police did not interfere in the civil dispute between the parties.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the Respondent No.3 not to interfere henceforth in the civil dispute between the Petitioners and the Respondent Nos.4 to 6 without following due process of law.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr.Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 23.03.2026
PRA

65

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 7636 of 2026

Date: 23.03.2026
PRA