



2026:CGHC:11770

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 4131 of 2020**

Smt. Prem Kumari Bharti, D/o. Late Budra Ram Bharti, Aged About 33 Years, R/o. Village Matnar, Tahsil Bakawand, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh.

**... Petitioner****versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Forests, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya , Post And Police Station Mandir Hasaud, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**2** - Additional Principal Chief Conservator Of Forests, (Admin / Non-Gaz) Aranya Bhawan, Sector 19, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**3** - Chief Conservator Of Forest, Jagdalpur Circle, Jagdalpur, Bastar Chhattisgarh.

**4** - Divisional Forest Officer, Bastar Forest Division, Jagdalpur Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondents**



---

For Petitioner : Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Rahul Tamaskar, Govt. Advocate

---

**(Single Bench)**

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**

**Order on Board**

**12.03.2026**

1. The petitioner has questioned the order dated 05.12.2019, by which her application for grant of compassionate appointment has been rejected only on the ground that it was filed after 30.06.2016 i.e. the date which was fixed for claiming compassionate appointment in respect of married daughter.
2. Mr. Varun Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order dated 05.12.2019 is not in accordance with law, as the delay occurred in moving the application is not applicable as per the revised policy dated 22.03.2016, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside.
3. Mr. Rahul Tamaskar, learned State counsel would support the impugned order and submits that the petition deserves to be dismissed.
4. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the documents meticulously.



5. The petitioner's father has died in harness on 04.02.2009, for which the petitioner has applied for compassionate appointment, which was rejected on the ground that she is the married daughter and as per the policy, she is not entitled for compassionate appointment. Thereafter, the revised policy came into force vide Annexure P-2 on the basis of the decision rendered by this Court in WPS No.296/2014 (Smt. Sarojani Bhoi v. State of Chhattisgarh & Others) decided on 30.11.2015, in which, one time opportunity was granted to apply for reconsideration till 30.06.2016, which the petitioner has failed and she filed the application with a delay of three years i.e. on 03.07.2019. Therefore, the same has been rejected on the ground that it is not in accordance with the policy and delayed by three years and even otherwise, the petitioner has survived for the period of 16 years, therefore, it would not be appropriate to direct the respondents for compassionate appointment to the petitioner at this stage.
6. In view of the above, the writ petition deserves to be and accordingly, it is dismissed.

Ashok

Sd/-  
**(Sanjay K. Agrawal)**  
**Judge**