



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 7045/2026

Between:

1. KRISHNA PARCHETAN, S/O.N.C.SAMPATH KUMAR, AGED 40
YEARS, R/O.D.NO. 10/357, SAROJINI ROAD, ANANTHAPUR,
ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY (HOME)
ANDHRA PRADESH SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI
522503
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ANANTAPUR, ANANTAPUR
DISTRICT-515001
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANANTAPUR ANANTAPUR DISTRICT -
515001
4. ANANTAPURAMU MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REPRESENTED BY
ITS COMMISSIONER, ANANTAPUR - 515001

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. M BALANAGA SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

“... to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the the 3rd Respondent in not taking any action on the representation Dt 06 03 2026 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to strictly follow the guidelines laid down by the court lain dovm in 20055 SCC Page 733 and implement inaction of supreme G O Ms No 172 Dt 12102010 guidelines framed with respect to the Noise Pollution Regulation and Control Rules 200 under Environment and to pass...”

2. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.
3. Sri M.Balanaga Srinivas, learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that Respondent Nos.2 to 4 are not enforcing the guidelines issued with respect to noise pollution.
4. Sri P.Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that when rules are there they have to be implemented or followed strictly.
5. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing Respondent Nos.2 to 4 to follow the guidelines framed with respect to Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules 200 under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and also the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Noise Pollution (V), In re**¹. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 09.03.2026

¹ (2005) 5 SCC 733

Note: Issue C.C. by 10.03.2026
B/o
VTS