



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3327]

**TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 23020/2021

Between:

1. PARUCHURU CHITTI BABU, S/O TIRUPALAYYA, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, OCC. RTC EMPLOYEE, R/O PLOT NO.102, VAISHNAVI APPARTMENTS, SUJATHAMMA NAGAR, NELLORE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

A N D

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NELLORE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NELLORE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.
4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KOVUR POLICE STATION KOVUR, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.
5. THE SUBINSPECTOR, KOVUR POLICE STATION, KOVUR VILLAGE AND MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.
6. JAKKA SUBBA RAO, S/O BUSHAYYA, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. RAM NAGAR GATE CENTRE, PODHALAKUR VILLAGE 85 MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring the action of the 3rd, 4th and 5th respondents in repeatedly calling the petitioner to the police station and pressuring the petitioner to pay amounts to 6th respondent as illegal, arbitrary and one without jurisdiction and violation of Art.21 of

Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere in the civil disputes and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd, 4th and 5th respondents not to call the petitioner to the police station and not to pressurize the petitioner to pay amounts and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.C SUBODH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER

This Writ Petition was filed seeking the following relief:

“...to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or direction declaring the action of respondent Nos.3, 4 and 5 in repeatedly calling the Writ Petitioner to the Police Station and pressuring the Writ Petitioner to pay amounts to 6th respondent as illegal, arbitrary and one without jurisdiction and violation of Articles 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently, direct the respondents not to interfere into the civil disputes and to pass such other order ...”

2. It is the case of Writ Petitioner that there were some financial transactions between him and 6th respondent, and all the transactions were settled and as of now there are no dues payable by him to 6th respondent. But, the respondent Nos.4 and 5 threatened the Writ Petitioner with dire consequences as if he failed to pay the amounts to 6th respondent and the Writ Petitioner was repeatedly called to the Police Station and pressurized to pay amounts. The respondent Nos.3 to 5 have no power or jurisdiction to interfere in the civil disputes between the Writ Petitioner and 6th respondent.

3. When the matter has come up for hearing, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, submits that basing on a report received from 6th respondent, the respondents-police called both the parties and advised to settle the matter before the competent Civil Court as the matter is civil in nature,

and that except for that purpose, the respondents-police never called the Writ Petitioner to the police station as alleged by him.

4. The said submission is placed on record. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs of the Writ Petition.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE K. SREENIVASA REDDY

31st March, 2026.

DNB