



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11057 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- East Champaran

Amarjeet Kumar Son of Ravindra Sah @ Ravinder Shah @ Rabindar Sah R/o
Village - Tejpurwa, Ward no. 13, P.S. - Paharpur(Malahi), District - East
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar No.Iii

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State .

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 338, 336(3), 341(1),
303(2), 318(4), 319(2), 61(2) of B.N.S. and Section 66C, 66D
I.T. Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 24.10.2025 and the informant alleges that during
verification of R.C.M.S. portal on 21.05.2025, it transpired that
departmental portal was hacked and without login O.T.P., Ration
Card were prepared at God speed, i.e, in 50 second 9 ration
cards and in 19 seconds, 16 ration cards were prepared, when no





recommendation was sent from M.O., Login and on enquiry, role and Rehan and Suraj was found.

4. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case based on confessional statement of Suraj, it is also submitted that how so petitioner was raided but he was not found present in the House and from his House, laptop and mobile were seized, it is next submitted that seizure of laptop or mobile cannot be a ground for implicating the petitioner, it is also submitted that Niraj and Suraj had approached this Court for seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.63697 of 2025 and Cr. Misc. No.65602 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 14.11.2025 passed by learned Coordinate Bench. It is also submitted that case of the petitioner is on better footing than Niraj and Suraj. It is further submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Twenty Ten





thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A..C.J.M.-IV, Motihari, in connection with Motihari Cyber P.S. Case No. 78 of 2025, subject to the condition that one bailor should be the father of the petitioner, namely, Ravindra Sah @ Ravinder Shah @ Rabindar Sah.

7. It is made clear that if the learned trial Court come to the conclusion that petitioner after release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay invoked the condition, the learned trial Court in both the conditions shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

