



named accused persons including the petitioners with an intent of freeing Laldev attacked the police force causing injury, further Bijli Rai assaulted S.I Deepak with lathi causing injury on right hand and Sanjit assaulted by brick causing injury on Deepak's forehead, further Laldev and Dinesh assaulted constable Bhagwanlal by lathi on right hand and Umesh assaulted by brick causing injury on shoulder, further Vijay (petitioner No. 1) assaulted Sangam by rod causing injury on head and he became unconscious, but the force managed to bring arrested accused Laldev and Dinesh to PS.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner nos. 2 and 3. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 3 is a senior citizen aged about 80 years and has remained a person with clean antecedent all throughout, and when he is nearing his grave he came to be implicated with general and omnibus allegations.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that there is





specific allegation against Vijay (petitioner no. 1) of assaulting Sangam, who is a police officer, by rod causing injury on head, but then is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner nos. 2 and 3.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner nos. 2 and 3 above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner No. 1. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner No. 1 is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

