



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO.201545 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

SAMEERPEERAN S MUSHRIF
AGE MAJOR. OCCUPATION SDC,
R/O HAVELIGALLI, BAGALKOT ROAD,
TQ AND DIST VIJAYAPURA 586101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. VISHAL PRATAP SINGH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
TALUK EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE,
ZILLA PANCHAYAT ROAD, VIJAYAPURA 586101.
2. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SOLAPUR ROAD, VIJAYAPURA 586101.
3. POLICE SUB INSPECTOR
GOLGUMBAZ POLICE STATION, VIJAYPUR 586101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MALLIKARJUN SAHUKAR, AGA FOR R1 AND R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO FOLLOWING
RELIEF : 1) ISSUE AN ORDER IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS,
DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CLOSE THE ROWDY-SHEET





OPENED AGAINST HIM, AND TERMINATE ALL CONSEQUENTIAL PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE PETITIONER; 2) ISSUE ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION THAT THIS HON'BLE COURT MAY IN THE LIGHT OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES NARRATED ABOVE MAY DEEM FIT AND PROPER IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

In this petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

- 1) Issue an order in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to close the rowdy-sheet opened against him, and terminate all consequential proceedings against the petitioner;
- 2) Issue any other order or direction that this Hon'ble court may in the light of the facts and circumstances narrated above may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice and equity.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 and 3 and perused the material on record.



3. In addition to reiterating the various contentions urged in the petition and referring to the material on record, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, despite the petitioner submitting representations at Annexure-B, dated 21.08.2025, requesting his name to be deleted from the rowdy sheeters' list, the respondent No.2 has issued the impugned endorsement refusing to delete the name of the petitioner from the rowdy sheeters' list without following the procedure prescribed by this Court in the case of ***B.S.Prakash Vs. State of Karnataka and others***, reported in ***2022 (2) KAR L.R. 457***. It is therefore submitted that the endorsement dated 31.10.2025 deserves to be quashed.

4. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondents submits that there is no merit in the petition and the same deserves to be dismissed.



5. As rightly contended by learned counsel for the petitioner, before including the name of the petitioner in the rowdy sheeters' list and prior to issuing the endorsement dated 31.10.2025, the respondents have not followed the procedure prescribed by this Court in the case of **B.S.Prakash** (supra) and consequently, the impugned endorsement deserves to be quashed and liberty be reserved in favour of the respondents to proceed further by following the guidelines in the case of **B.S.Prakash** (supra).

7. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

- i) Petition is hereby ***allowed and disposed of*** in terms of the judgment of this Court in ***B.S. Prakash Vs. State of Karnataka and others – 2022 (2) KAR L.R. 457.***
- ii) The endorsement dated 31.10.2025 issued by concerned respondent, is hereby quashed.



- iii) Liberty is reserved in favour of the concerned respondents to proceed further, by following the guidelines in the case of ***B.S. Prakash Vs. State of Karnataka and others - 2022 (2) KAR L.R. 45.***
- (iv) The concerned respondents are directed to remove, exclude and delete the name of the petitioner from the rowdy sheeters' list, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

**Sd/-
(S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR)
JUDGE**

SN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 101
CT:SI