



2026:UHC:1809

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 626 of 2026

17 March, 2026

Anuj Kumar Rastogi

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

With

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 627 of 2026

Payal

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.628 of 2026

Shajahan

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 629 of 2026

Sunita Devi

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 632 of 2026

Vimlesh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents



Presence:-

Mr. B.S. Koranga, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State/respondent nos.1, 3 & 4.

Mr. Pawan Sanwal, learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Rahul Consul, learned counsel for respondent no.2.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

Since common questions of fact and law are involved in these petitions, therefore, these petitions are clubbed together and decided by this common judgment. However, for the sake of brevity and convenience, the facts of WPMS No. 626 of 2026 alone are being considered and discussed.

2. The petitioner is the son of late Rajendra Kumar, who was registered as a labourer with the Uttarakhand Building and Other Construction Workers Welfare Board. The registration number of the petitioner's father was 050302799331660 dated 27.04.2023.

3. The case of the petitioner is that father of the petitioner died on 17.10.2025 leaving behind the petitioner. Under the welfare scheme floated by the Government under the provisions of the Building and Other Construction Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996, the dependents/nominee of the deceased worker are entitled to get a sum of Rs. 3 lakhs as financial help in case of death, and



in case of death during the course of work, a sum of Rs. 5 lakhs is paid to the nominee/dependents of the workers.

4. The petitioner submits that his father died a natural death; therefore, he is entitled to get Rs. 3 lakhs under the Act, 1996. Annexure-2 is the welfare scheme annexed, and para 4 of the same is relied upon by the petitioner to substantiate his submission before this Court.

5. Per contra, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 submits that in the writ petition, the date of making the application has not been disclosed. However, this fact is disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner, stating that an online application has been moved by the petitioner to respondent no.2, and a typed copy of the application is annexed as Annexure-4 to the writ petition.

6. In view of the aforesaid scheme launched by the Government, the petitioner is entitled to get Rs. 3 lakhs for the natural death of his father. To cut short the controversy, it is provided that the petitioner shall make an offline application to respondent no. 2 within a period of ten days from today, and if such an application is moved by the petitioner to respondent no. 2, respondent no. 2 shall decide the said application after verifying as to whether the petitioner is legally entitled to get



2026:UHC:1809

the aforesaid amount of Rs. 3 lakhs towards the death of his father, expeditiously within a period of not less than three months.

7. With the aforesaid observations, all the above writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

8. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
17.03.2026

AK