



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3331]

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 2032/2026

Between:

G Raghupathi ...**PETITIONER**

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others ...**RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. N RANGA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri N. Ranga Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner; Mrs. Naga Chandrika, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, for respondents 1 and 3; Ms. Usha, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondent No.2; Ms. Kavitha, learned counsel representing Sri M. Sudhir, learned standing counsel for respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondents 6 and 7 by speed post with acknowledgement due and file proof of service.

The above writ petition was filed to declare the action of respondent No.3 in not taking steps to suspend the permission granted by respondent No.4 vide B.A.S.No.10/20, dated 07.07.2021, in favour of respondent No.7 to construct a residential house in an extent of 194.33 square yards of land in survey No.519/E/1, Pudipatla Village Accounts, Tirupati Rural Mandal, as illegal and arbitrary.

At the hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.4, by proceedings, dated 07.07.2021, granted permission in favour of respondent No.7, to construct building, in the aforementioned land. As per the terms and conditions, respondent No.7 has to complete construction in two years, otherwise the permission lapses. He submitted that respondent No.7 failed to complete the construction within the time stipulated and is now proceeding with the construction. In support of the petitioner's contention, photographs are filed from pages 63 to 66 of material papers.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj, submitted written instructions furnished by respondent No.3, wherein it was mentioned that Panchayat Secretary of respondent No.4 issued notice to respondent No.7 vide Roc.No.01/UC/2026 dated 23.01.2025 (Ex.P1), directing her to stop construction.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 produced a copy of the notice dated 23.01.2026 issued to respondent No.7 directing her to stop construction and submitted that the permission granted to respondent No.7 vide Ex.P1 was lapsed and no permission was obtained by respondent No.7.

This Court is *prima facie* satisfied that respondent No.7 is making construction without statutory permission as mandated under the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Raj Act, 1994.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents 3 and 4 shall ensure that respondent No.7 shall not make any further construction in the aforementioned land without obtaining proper permission. Respondent No.7 shall not make any constructions in the aforementioned survey number, without obtaining permission from respondent No.4.

Post on 17.02.2026.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J

Dated: 27.01.2026
IKN