

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p>WPCRL No.198 of 2026 <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Naitik Bhatt, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Rakesh Negi, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Anoop Jaiswal, Advocate for respondent no.4.</p> <p>2. By means of this writ petition, petitioner has sought quashing of F.I.R. No.422 of 2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 506 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Bazpur, District Udhampur, District Udhampur, Jammu and Kashmir.</p> <p>3. An F.I.R. was lodged by the complainant alleging that one Satvinder Singh, who was running a Visa Filing Office, induced the complainant to pay a sum of ₹21,00,000/- on the pretext of facilitating the complainant's son's higher studies in Australia, but subsequently cheated him. In connection with the said F.I.R., Satvinder Singh has been arrested and is presently in judicial custody. During the course of investigation, it has emerged that a sum of ₹8,25,000/- was transferred by Satvinder Singh into the bank account of the petitioner.</p> <p>4. A compounding application, jointly signed by counsel for respondent no.4 and counsel for the petitioner has been filed duly supported by affidavits of petitioner and respondent no.4.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that parties have entered into settlement, therefore, the matter needs to be compounded.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for respondent no.4 submits that petitioner has returned back</p>

the aforesaid amount in the bank account of respondent no.4 and the dispute has now been amicably settled between the parties, therefore, his client wants to close the matter to ensure that peace is restored.

7. Today, petitioner and respondent no.4 appeared before this Court, who are duly identified by their counsels.

8. Both the parties also made a statement that, in view of the settlement, they want to close the matter.

9. Having regard to the nature of offence and also considering the broad guidelines issued by Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Gian Singh Vs State of Punjab reported in (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & others Vs State of Punjab & another reported in (2014) 6 SCC 466, request of the petitioner deserves to be acceded to.

10. Since the parties have entered into a compromise, therefore, possibility of the trial resulting into conviction of the accused is remote and bleak and, that being so, continuation of criminal proceedings would visit the accused with great oppression, prejudice and injustice. Rather, it would tantamount to abuse of process of law.

11. In view of above discussion, the writ petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.422 of 2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 506 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Bazpur, District Udhampur, is hereby quashed along with all the proceedings emanating therefrom, qua petitioner only.

12. Compounding application (I.A. No.1 of 2026) is, accordingly, disposed of. Urgency application (I.A. No.3 of 2026) also stands disposed of.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
Vacation Judge

22.01.2026

