



2026:CGHC:3956

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 4918 of 2023**

Aashish Kumar Dewangan S/o Shri Uttam Dewangan, Aged 30 Years R/o Santoshi
Mandir Ke Pass Champa Tahsil Champa District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Chhattisgarh Shashan Udhog Vibhag
Mantralay Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Director, Udhog Sanchalnalay Chhattisgarh Udhog Bhawan 1st Floor Ring
Road No. 01 Telibandha Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Managing Director, C.S.I.D.C. Udhog Bhawan 1st Floor Ring Road No. 01
Telibandha Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

4 - Mahaprabandhak, Office Jila Vyapar Avm Udhog Centre Janjgir Champa
District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

5 - Collector, Janjgir Champa District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

6 - Anuvibhagiya Adhikari Rajasv, Karyalay Anuvibhagiya Adhikari Rajasv Janjgir
District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

7 - Director, Ms. Prakash Industries Limited Village- Hathneva Tahsil Champa
District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

(Cause Title downloaded from CIS Periphery)



For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shivam Agarwal, Advocate
For Respective Respondents	:	Mr. Pranjal Agarwal, Advocate and Mr. Anumay Tiwari, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

22/01/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeks relief regarding the acquisition of his forefather's land bearing Khasra No.1721/23, area 0.15 acres. The said land was acquired by the State and subsequently allotted to respondent No.7 – Prakash Industries. Pursuant to the Rehabilitation Policy of the State Government, the petitioner is entitled to employment in lieu of the acquisition, however, despite the said policy, no such employment has been provided to him.
2. The petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs.:
 - 10.1 That the representation of the petitioner which has been filed as Annexure P/1 may be directed to be decided on merits in accordance with law within some stipulated period.
 - 10.2 The respondent be directed to give employment in lieu of acquisition of land of the forefathers of the petitioner in preferential manner.
 - 10.3 Any other relief which this Court deems fit may also be granted.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner possesses requisite qualification, holding a degree in Mechanical Engineering. However, the respondent authorities are not considering his case for grant of employment in lieu of the acquisition of the land of his forefathers. He submits that Respondent No.7, who was allotted the subject land following its acquisition, has already granted employment to other similarly situated



persons. However, so far as the present petitioner is concerned, respondent No.7 is discriminating against him by not giving employment. Learned counsel makes a limited prayer to dispose of this petition, permitting the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the concerned authorities for redressal of his grievance in respect of employment in lieu of acquisition of his land and further, it is prayed that the concerned authorities be directed to decide the same in accordance with law.

4. The prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.
5. Accordingly, the Petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petitioner prefers a fresh representation before the authorities concerned, annexing relevant documents, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the respondent authorities, are, in turn, directed to decide the same by a speaking order within a period of 45 days.
6. With the aforesaid observations/directions, this Petition is finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge