



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3586]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15119/2026

Between:

1. NANDIKA SIDDU VINAY VARMA, , S/O NANDIKA KRISHNA MURTHY, AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS, OCC ELECTRICAL WORK, R/O PEDDURU AREA, UPPALAGUPTAM VILLAGE AND MANDAL, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, , REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522238.
2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, WOMEN DEVELOPMENT 86 CHILD WELFARE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522238.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, , DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, AMALAPURAM - 533201.
4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, , AMALAPURAM RURAL CIRCLE,

- DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533201. .
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, , UPPALAGUPTAM POLICE STATION, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533222.
 6. THE DISTRICT WOMEN AND CHILD WELFARE OFFICE, R, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, AMALAPURAM - 533201.
 7. THE SUPERINTENDENT / PERSON INCHARGE, , ICDS HOME / PROTECTION HOME, RAVULAPALEM, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533238. .
 8. THE ICDS AUTHORITIES / PROTECTION HOME AUTHORITIES, AMALAPURAM, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMADISTRICT - 533201.
 9. CHIKKAM RAJA RAJESWARI, , D/O SATYANARAYANA MURTHY, W/O NANDIKA SIDDU VINAY VARMA, AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS, R/O UPPALAGUPTAM VILLAGE 86 MANDAL, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533222.
 10. CHIKKAM SATYANARAYANA MURTHY, FATHER OF RESPONDENT NO.9, R/O UPPALAGUPTAM VILLAGE AND MANDAL, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533222.
 11. CHIKKAM ANANTHA LAKSHMI DEVI, MOTHER OF RESPONDENT NO.9, R/O UPPALAGUPTAM VILLAGE AND MANDAL, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533222.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Habeas Corpus, declaring the action of the respondent authorities in illegally separating the petitioner and Respondent No.9, despite both being majors and legally wedded spouses, and in keeping Respondent No.9 under illegal restraint/custody without permitting her to go according to her free will and choice, as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the

Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents to produce Respondent No.9 before this Honble Court and set her at liberty to go and reside with the petitioner according to her own free will and choice and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K.V.AKHILESWARA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR WOMEN DEV CHILD WELFARE

2.MAKIREDDY MANOJ

3.ADDL ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court made the following:

ORDER : (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice A. Hari Haranadha Sarma)

Heard learned counsel on either side.

2. Respondent No.9, Chikkam Raja Rajeswari (detenue), appeared before the court and submitted that she is staying with her parents as on this day, on her own. However, she has submitted that she intends to stay with the petitioner and that they opted for social marriage in the presence of friends and well wishers.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents 10 and 11 i.e., the parents of respondent No.9, would submit that the marriage is invalid. However, said contention is not relevant at this juncture.

4. Since respondent No.9 is a major, as per the date of birth reflecting in her Transfer Certificate and Aadhar Card produced i.e., 17.09.2004, she can stay at the place of her choice.

5. The statement made by the respondent No.9 before this Court is found voluntary. However, she has expressed an apprehension that her parents may interfere if she continues to reside with the petitioner.

6. The petitioner and respondent No.9 were called to the Chambers. Upon enquiry, both of them in one voice submitted that they have married and they intend to live peacefully.

7. In view of the above submission of the petitioner and the respondent No.9, this Court is of the opinion that no further orders are required. However, the respondents 1 to 8 are directed not to interfere with the personal liberty of either the petitioner or the respondent No.9. It is also clarified that the petitioner and the respondent no.9, in the event of any interference, are at liberty to set the appropriate law into motion.

8. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA, J

Dated: 11.06.2026

IKN

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15119 of 2026

Dated: 11.06.2026
IKN