

**HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI**

**MAIN CASE No.: W.P.No.32739 and 16440 of 2025**

**PROCEEDING SHEET**

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	11.3.2026	<p><b><u>DR, J</u></b></p> <p>The Writ Petition is filed impugning the orders dated 24.6.2025 and 16.6.2025 wherein the Government has appointed one Smt. B.R.Kranthi Kumari, Regional Deputy Director, State Audit, Eluru as in charge District Audit Officer in the place of the petitioner. The said action of the respondents is contrary to the interim orders passed by this Court in W.A.No.713 of 2024 dated 03.9.2024.</p> <p>The earlier Writ Petition is filed relieving the petitioner from the post of District Audit Officer, State Audit, Rajamahendravaram, with instructions to report as Assistant Audit Officer, Municipal Corporation, Guduwada. In the Writ Appeal, the Division Bench has considered the material and passed the order stating that transfer being premature and contrary to the guidelines issued in G.O.Ms.No.71 dated 17.5.2023 and further the transfer is made at the behest of the political bosses i.e. the then Minister unconcerned by appellant department. Accordingly, the impugned transfer orders are stayed.</p> <p>Subsequent to the said directions, by entertaining the representation of A.P.Panchayat Raj Secretaries Association, East Godavari District,</p>	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<p>Rajamahendravaram, Minister for Tourism, Culture and Cinematography, Government of Andhra Pradesh requested the Government to take appropriate action based on the representation made by the Association. Consequently, the Director of State Audit has appointed an enquiry officer to conduct preliminary enquiry with regard to the discrepancies taken place in the District. Accordingly, the preliminary enquiry has been conducted by the Joint Director of State Audit working as Director (Admn.) A.P as preliminary enquiry officer and submitted its report with all the staff members, except one, requesting to transfer them to any other office in the Zone. But they are not in a position to work under the control of the petitioner herein as in charge District Audit Officer. Based on the above report, the respondents herein has considered and passed the impugned orders on 24.6.2025 replacing the in charge arrangement with one Smt. B.R.Kranthi Kumari, Regional Deputy Director, State Audit, and no transfer has been effected with regard to the petitioner.</p> <p>A perusal of the impugned orders and also the findings of the preliminary enquiry report shows that there are certain objections raised by the petitioner with regard to preliminary enquiry and the same was done behind back of the petitioner, this Court is of</p>	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<p>the opinion that when there is an unrest in the District and the majority of the staff members are not cooperating to work in the said office under the administration of petitioner as District Audit Officer in charge, this Court is of the opinion that, let in charge arrangement may be handed over to Smt. B.R.Kranthi Kumari, Regional Deputy Director as per the impugned orders dated 24.6.2025. Further there shall be a direction to respondent no.2 to conduct an enquiry, by itself, after issuing notice to the petitioner as well as to all the staff members and prepare a report and submit to this Court for further adjudication of the matter. The said exercise shall be completed within a period of two (02) weeks from today. Accordingly, interim order is modified.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter after two (02) weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">_____ <b>DR, J</b></p> <p>RD</p>	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE