

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-1413-CI-2020 in
CMs-9462 & 9463-C1-2019 In/and
RFA-4682-2014****Kulbir Singh and others**

. . . . Appellants

Vs.**State of Haryana and others**

. . . . Respondents

**Reserved on: 03.02.2026
Pronounced on: 05.02.2026
Pronounced Fully/Operative Part: Fully**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. Gaurav Garg, AAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.**CM-1413-CI-2020 in CMs-9462 & 9463-CI-2019 in RFA-4682-2014 :**

This application is moved under Section 151 CPC to place on record amended memo of parties submitting that correct LAC case number, out of which the main case i.e. RFA-4682-2014 has arisen is LAC Case No.610 of 2011, but it has been wrongly mentioned as LAC case No.455 of 2011. Besides the acquired land of the applicants-appellants is situated in the revenue estate of village Sewli, but it has been wrongly mentioned as village Patla in the memo of parties.

2. Despite opportunity, State has not filed any reply. Learned State counsel has even not opposed the application.

3. Consequently, application [CM-1413-CI-2020] is allowed for the reasons as mentioned in the application, which is supported by affidavit of one of the appellant-Jagat Singh.

4. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

5. Registry is directed to place it at the appropriate place.



6. **CM-9463-CI-2019 in/and RFA-4682-2014** :

This application under Section 151 CPC is moved to dispose of the main appeal in terms of the judgment dated 05.07.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***RFA-4101-2008*** titled ***HSIIDC Vs. Rajesh Kumar-II and others.***

7. The applicants—review petitioners are landowners, whose land situated in village Sewli, Tehsil and District Sonipat, was acquired by the State of Haryana for the public purpose namely, development of Rajiv Gandhi Education City.

8. Dissatisfied with the compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Collector, the applicants and other landowners sought references under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, which were decided by the Reference Court on 31.03.2012. Still aggrieved, the applicants preferred RFA No.4682-2014 before this Court seeking further enhancement. The said appeal was disposed of by a Coordinate Bench on 03.11.2015 along with a bunch of similar matters.

9. Aggrieved by the judgment dated 03.11.2015, the applicants, along with other landowners, approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court by way of SLP (Civil) Nos.5535-5609 of 2016. Vide order dated 28.03.2016, the Hon'ble Supreme Court noticed certain discrepancies in the judgment of this Court and granted liberty to the landowners to approach this Court by filing review applications. Pursuant thereto, review petitions were filed by other similarly situated landowners, which were decided on 21.11.2016.

10. The landowners again approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court, which repatriated the matters to this Court for fresh consideration. Thereafter, a Coordinate Bench of this Court considered a bunch of appeals led by ***RFA No.4101-2008 titled HSIDC v. Rajesh Kumar-II and others,*** and disposed of the same by a common judgment dated 05.07.2019.

11. It is submitted by the applicants-appellants that they had also filed SLP Nos.16350 & 16384 of 2016, wherein the earlier judgment dated 03.11.2015 of this Court had been set aside by remitting all the RFAs for fresh decision by the Supreme Court. Though the other RFAs were disposed of by this Court on 05.07.2019 in ***Rajesh Kumar's case (Supra),*** but the present ***RFA-4682-2014***



titled Kulbir Singh and others versus State of Haryana could not be listed at that time. Prayer is accordingly made to dispose of the present appeal in terms of the judgment dated 05.07.2019, passed by this Court in RFA-4101-2008.

12. Learned State counsel has conceded the factual position that present case is covered by the aforesaid judgment dated 05.07.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **RFA-4101-2008** titled **HSIIDC Vs. Rajesh Kumar-II and others**.

13. This Court has considered the submissions and examined the record.

14. At the outset, it is not disputed that the land of the applicants forms part of the same acquisition, governed by the same notifications issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Act, the same award of the Land Acquisition Collector, and the same reference proceedings. It is also fairly conceded that similarly situated landowners have already been granted enhanced compensation pursuant to judgments which have attained finality.

15. Once the State has conceded the factual position and this Court, in **RFA No.4101-2008 titled HSIDC v. Rajesh Kumar-II and others decided on 05.07.2019** has enhanced compensation for lands forming part of the same acquisition, denial of the same benefit to the present applicants would be wholly unjustified.

16. In view of the above discussion, the application is allowed. The applicants shall be entitled to enhanced compensation in terms of the judgment dated 05.07.2019 in **RFA No.4101-2008 titled HSIDC v. Rajesh Kumar-II and others**, along with all statutory benefits admissible under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

17. Accordingly, **CM-9463-CI-2019** is allowed in the above terms. Consequently, **RFA-4682-2014** stands disposed of.

Any pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

05.02.2026

Vivek

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No

Uploaded on: 05.02.2026