

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3830/2026

1. Dr. Sandeep Sharma S/o Shri Om Prakash Sharma, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Jagner Road Bypass, Gandhi Tiraha, Village Timasiya, Tehsil Baseri, District Dholpur
2. Dr. Dharmesh Bhardwaj S/o Shri Pawan Bhardwaj, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Chhapeti Para, Ganj Ke Paas, Bari, District Dholpur, Rajasthan - 328021
3. Dr. Ram Chander S/o Shri Pooran Mal Sharma, Aged About 35 Years, R/o C/o Pooran Mal Sharma, Ward No. 05, Johar Ke Pass, Ramgarh 16 D.p.n. , Hanumangarh, Rajasthan-Pin 335504. At Present Residing At Balaji Blessing, 01 Narayan Vihar, Jaipur-302026
4. Dr. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Pratap Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village And Post Ninan, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At B-13, Patel Nagar, Prem Nagar Puliya, Agra Road, Jaipur
5. Dr. Abhishek Choudhary S/o Shri Kuldeep Choudhary, Aged About 35 Years, R/o B-7, Narayan Vihar Colony, Old Shivbari Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan. At Present Reding At B-225, Ganga Sagar Path, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur
6. Dr. Anita Tanwar D/o Shri Ashok Kumar Tanwar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Sutharon Ka Mohalla, Old Ginanni, Bikaner, District Bikaner Pin 334001, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At Block-A Near Amrapali Circle, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur
7. Dr. Jyoti Srivastava D/o Shri Sudhir Srivastava, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Near Chandan Sager Well K.e.m. Bikaner, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At 52/558, Sector-5, Pratap Nagar, Jaipur
8. Dr. Yamini Kalla D/o Shri Rakesh Kumar Kalla, Aged About 33 Years, R/o House No. D-665, M.d.v. Colony, Bikaner, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At B-404, Vardhman Kings Court, Lalarpura, Gandhi Path West, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur
9. Dr. Divya Vashishtha D/o Shri Kailash Chand Sharma, Aged About 31 Years, R/o 54, Rajnagar A Mantown, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan
10. Dr. Khatri Shweta Pradipbhai , D/o Khatri Pradipbhai, Aged About 28 Years, R/o H.no. B-9, Anupam Society,

Kanti Road, Deesa Banaskantha, Gujarat-Pin 385535

11. Dr. Rahul Tiwari S/o Shri Om Prakash Sharma, Aged About 32 Years, R/o C.h.c. Kapren, Tehsil Keshorai Patan, District Bundi, Rajasthan, Pin 323301
12. Dr. Dinesh Kumar Punia S/o Shri Prema Ram Punia, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 07, Desalsar Purohitan, District Bikaner, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At Plot No. D-25, Jamna Nagar, Sodala, Jaipur
13. Dr. Jagdish Singh S/o Sadhu Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, 1 S.r.w. Naaiwala, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At Vrinda Garden, Jnu Campus, Jagatpura, Jaipur-302017
14. Dr. Emad Asgar S/o Hamid Hussain, Aged About 38 Years, R/o C-129, Haribhau Upadhyay Nagar, Pushkar Road, Ajmer Pin 305001, Rajasthan
15. Nalini Singhal D/o Shri Pankaj Singhal, Aged About 30 Years, R/o 3-E-170, Jnv Colony Bikaner, Rajasthan. At Present Residing At 121, Balaji Blessing, 01 Narayan Vihar, Jaipur-302026

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur -302001.
2. Joint Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur - 302001.
3. Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Through Its Secretary, Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Ajmer - 305001.
4. Department Of Personnel, Through The Principal Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur - 302001.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Arvind Kumar Arora  
 For Respondent(s) : Mr. Yuvraj Samant  
 Mr. Manoj Sharma, AAG with  
 Mr. Vishal Kumar, for Respondent  
 Nos.1 and 2.

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA**

**Order**

**06/04/2026**

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioners with the following prayer:-

"A. Issue a writ, order or direction to the Respondents in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing them to desist from committing discrimination against the Petitioners whereby from the same select list appointments have been granted to some of the persons and not to the Petitioners.

B. Issue a writ, order or direction to the Respondents in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing the Respondents to grant appointment to the Petitioners who have been recommended for appointment in pursuance of the final select list as issued by the Respondent RPSC dated 03.08.2024 strictly in consonance with the Animal Husbandry Rules, 1963.

C. Issue a writ, order or direction to the Respondents in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing them to first issue the appointment orders of the Petitioners who are part of the select list and recommended to be appointed in the 2019 recruitment and only thereafter proceed with the new recruitment process as initiated in 2025 for the post of Veterinary officer.

D. Pass any other order or direction in favour of the Petitioners which this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the interest of justice, equity and good conscience."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that issue in the present case is no longer *res-integra* as the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has already allowed the writ petition filed by Dr. Ganesh Lal Sharma and others vide order dated 19.02.2026 in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.20049/2025** titled as '**Dr. Ganesh Lal Sharma & Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**', in which the following order was passed:-

"1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with following prayers:

"Therefore, it is humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

A. Issue a writ, order or direction to the respondents in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing them to desist from committing discrimination against the petitioners whereby from the same select list appointments are granted to some of the persons and not to the petitioners.

B. Issue a writ, order or direction to the respondents in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing the respondents to grant appointment to the petitioners who have recommended for appointment in pursuant of the final select list as issued by the respondent RPSC dated 03.08.2024 strictly in consonance with the Animal Husbandry Rules, 1963.

C. Issue a writ, order or direction to the respondents in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing them to first issue the appointment orders of the petitioners who are part of the select list and recommended to be appointed in the 2019 recruitment and only thereafter proceed with the new recruitment process as initiated in 2025 for the post of Veterinary officer.

D. Pass any other order or direction in favour of the petitioners which this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the interest of justice, equity and good conscience."

2. It is stated that the recruitment process for the post of Veterinary Officer pursuant to advertisement dated 22.10.2019 was initiated under the Rajasthan Animal Husbandry Rules, 1963. Total 900 vacancies including the backlog of past year were notified with category-wise distribution. The advertisement provided that in case eligible candidates were not available in certain reserved categories, the vacancies would be filled through normal procedure. The prescribed qualification was Bachelor degree in veterinary science along with requisite knowledge of language.

3. The respondent-commission conducted screening and interview in terms of Rule 19 of the Rules of 1963. The petitioners were declared successful in the short-listing process on 26.11.2020, then they also participated in

document verification and secured proper position in merit. The final result was declared on 03.08.2024, whereby 777 candidates were selected and recommended for appointment, including the petitioners, whose names figured in select list at different merit positions.

4. The grievance of the petitioners is despite recommendation in favour of the petitioners, the respondent commission issued appointment orders to several similarly situated candidates but did not extend appointment to the petitioners without assigning any reasons. It is contended that similarly situated persons have been granted relief by Coordinate Bench of this Court. The petitioners have also challenged the issuance of fresh advertisement dated 17.07.2025, alleging that the same adversely affects their appointment under the recruitment process of the year 2019.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that reply to the writ petition has been filed in which, it has been stated on account of interim orders dated 09.01.2025 & 07.03.2025 passed in S.B. CWP No. 8568/2024 and in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 126/2025, the petitioners could not be given appointment despite their selection.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the interim orders referred in the reply are not at all applicable in the instant case as the subject matter of the litigation as referred in the reply is altogether different.

7. Learned counsel for the Rajasthan Public Service Commission clarified that Hon'ble Supreme Court while passing an interim order in SLP (Civil) Nos. 23653-23655/2023 on 31.05.2024 has granted liberty to the respondents to declare the result and to issue appointment letters to the selected candidates. Hence, as per learned counsel for RPSC, there was no impediment in the way of issuing appointment letters to the selected candidates. Thereafter, the aforesaid SLP has also been dismissed vide order dated 2nd April, 2025.

8. In the light of above, when it is not under dispute that the petitioners have been selected and there is no objection with regard to his eligibility and where other similarly situated persons have already been appointed,

this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition by directing the respondents to issue appointment order to the petitioners on the post of Veterinary Officer pursuant to advertisement dated 22.10.2019 along with all consequential benefits.

9. It is made clear that the petitioners shall not be entitled for actual monetary benefits however, they shall be granted notional benefits from the date on which, the persons similar situated qua the petitioners have already been appointed by the respondents. Necessary exercise shall be carried out within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of."

3. Learned counsels appearing for the respondent-Rajasthan Public Service Commission and the State do not dispute the fact.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is allowed in terms of the judgment passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Dr. Ganesh Lal Sharma** (supra). Further, necessary exercise shall be carried out within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. An application (I.A. No.01/2026) has been filed by the applicant under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for the impleadment of applicants as necessary party respondent. Since the issue has decided, the application stands dismissed.

6. All pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(RAVI CHIRANIA),J**