



CWP-36509-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

**CWP-36509-2025
Date of Decision: 24.02.2026**

SATYANDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, D.A.G., Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 20.12.2024 (Annexure P-4) whereby respondent has rejected his claim for reimbursement of medical expenses to the tune of Rs.2,27,298/-.

2. The petitioner is a retired Police Officer of Haryana Police. His mother fell ill on 18.02.2024. The petitioner got his mother admitted in Delhi Hospital, Bahadurgarh, Haryana. He incurred Rs.2,27,298/- on medical bills. He filed application seeking reimbursement of aforesaid medical expenses. Respondent No.3-Welfare Officer, Police Station/Range, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar forwarded his case for obtaining dependent certificate to verify whether



CWP-36509-2025

petitioner's mother is dependent upon him. The respondent vide communication dated 20.12.2024 rejected his claim on the ground that his mother's name is not mentioned in the Family ID. The petitioner claims that his mother has no independent source of income and she is wholly dependent upon him. He has shown his mother dependent upon him in the service record. Petitioner's claim falls within medical reimbursement policy of the State Government.

3. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner's mother was residing with her husband and they had separate family identity card. Name of mother was not included in the petitioner's family ID. Petitioner's father, in his documents, had disclosed his annual income to the tune of ₹1.9 Lakhs. The petitioner's mother was not residing with him, thus, her medical expenses cannot be reimbursed. Petitioner's father was owner of land measuring 98 Kanals 16 Marlas. There is no land in the name of Smt. Ramwati i.e. petitioner's mother. The aforesaid land was transferred in the name of petitioner and his brother after the death of their father.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent has rejected petitioner's claim on the sole ground that his mother's name was not included in the family ID.

5. In the wake of obtained factual position, this Court cannot straightway direct respondent to reimburse medical expenses incurred by petitioner on the treatment of his mother. The respondent in reply has pointed out material facts which did not form part of impugned rejection order. The petitioner is claiming that he may be granted one opportunity to put forth his stand and clarify that his mother was fully dependent upon him.



CWP-36509-2025

6. The petition stands **disposed of** with a direction to respondent to pass fresh order considering documents and evidence collected during the pendency of present petition. The petitioner would be at liberty to file his representation, within two weeks from today and respondent shall pass fresh order within three months from today.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.02.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No