



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 7325 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. PRATHAPA U T
S/O. THIMMAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS,
R/O NO.133, UPPARAHALLI GRAMA,
PALAGRAHARA POST, NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT 571432.
2. PRAMOD.S.,
S/O. T.SURESH,
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS,
R/O UPPARAHALLI GRAMA,
PALAGRAHARA POST,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT 571432.
3. ANANDA. T M
S/O. LATE.MARIGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
R/O BEHIND GOVERNMENT SCHOOL,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
TIRUGANAHALLI,
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 432.
4. T.SATHISHA,
S/O. LATE THAMAYYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
R/O MAKKALA MANE, 19TH WARD,
MYLARAPANNANA CHANAL ROAD,
PALAGRAHARA POST, NAGAMANGALA TALUK,





MANDYA DISTRICT 571432.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. VINAY D. HOSMATH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE TAHSILDAR,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 432.
3. THE POLICE INSPECTOR,
NAGAMANGALA TOWN,
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 432.
4. THE CHIEF OFFICER,
TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
NAGAMANGALA TOWN,
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571 432,

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, HCGP FOR R1 TO R5)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER VIDE ANNEX-H VIDE NO. OTTUVARI.C.R/01-25-26 DATED 24.02.2026 ANNEX-J VIDE NO. OTTUVARI/C.R/01-2526 DATED 24.02.2026 AND ANNEX-K VIDE NO. OTTUVARI.C.R/01-25-26 DATED 25.02.2026 PASSED BY R2 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate is directed to take notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Notice to respondent No.4 is not necessary for the following reasons:

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Annexure-G is the representation given by the petitioners to the respondent-Tahsildar, Nagamangala Taluk stating that they have established shops and they are paying rents to Sri.Maramma Temple since the land belongs to Sri.Maramma Temple. The petitioners are in possession of the shops for more than five years now. However, recently revenue entries have been mutated and the name of Sri.Maramma Temple has been removed from the revenue records and the name of Government has been inserted. Threats were given to the petitioners to evict the shops or they will be forcibly evicted. Therefore, the said representation was given to the Tahsildar requesting him to provide an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners before taking action to evict them. However, Annexures-H to K are the impugned orders passed by the Tahsildar fixing the date of 26.02.2026 for eviction of the



petitioners from the lands in question with the protection of Police.

3. Having regard to the facts narrated hereinabove, this Court is of the considered opinion that such an action could not have been taken by the Tahisldar without following due process of law.

4. Consequently, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** while directing respondent-Tahsildar Nagamangala Taluk and the petitioners herein to treat the impugned orders at Annexures-H to K as show cause notices issued to the petitioners. The petitioners shall give a reply to the show cause notice within a period of 15 days from today. The Tahsildar shall consider the replies and if necessary give an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and the Officials of Sri. Maramma Temple and thereafter proceed only in accordance with law.

Needless to observe that till such a decision is taken by the Tahsildar no precipitative action shall be taken against the petitioners.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

GPG