



2026:CGHC:14890

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1125 of 2021

- Kalpana Madame D/o Late Krishan Lal Madame Aged About 59 Years R/o Shankarpur, Ward No. 07 Rajnandgaon, Police Station Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Women And Child Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur , District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Deputy Secretary Women And Child Development Department , Mahanadi Bhavan , New Raipur , Atal Nagar , Chhattisgarh.
3. District Programme Officer Women And Child Development Department , Devari , District Balod Chhattisgarh.
4. Arun Kumar Pandey Presently Working In The Post Of Assistant Director, District Women And Child Development Adhikari, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
5. Sajid Meman Assistant Director In The Office Of The CEO , Chhattisgarh Estate Waqf Board , Raipur (In Deputation) Chhattisgarh.
6. Atul Dandekar Assistant Director At The Office Of District Women And Child Development Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
7. Suryakant Gupta Assistant Director At The Office Of District Women And Child Development Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
8. Tarkeshwar Sinha Assistant Director At The Office Of District Women And Child Development Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	: Mr. T.K. Tiwari, Advocate
For State	: Mr. R.C.S. Deo, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

01.04.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that name of the petitioner was not considered for promotion to the post of Assistant Director, Woman and Child Development, whereas, respondents No. 4 to 8 were promoted to the said post vide order dated 25.01.2021. He would submit that ACRs of year 2016, 2017 and 2018 and property details for year 2017 to 2019 were not submitted by the petitioner before the authority concerned, therefore, her name was not considered.

Mr. Tiwari would submit that name of the petitioner was considered for promotion and order was passed on 22.12.2022, but said order was communicated after retirement. He would submit that the petitioner is entitled to receive pay and allowances attached to the post from 22.12.2022 till date of her retirement. He would submit that a direction may be issued to respondent authorities to make payment of arrears of salary and calculate his pension accordingly.

2. On the other hand, Mr. Deo would oppose. He would submit that the petitioner failed to submit relevant documents, therefore, her name was not considered for promotion along with her juniors. He would contend that subsequently the petitioner has been promoted with notional benefits. He would submit that as the petitioner has already got retired from services, she is not entitled for any pay & allowances and instant petition deserves to be dismissed.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents placed on record.
4. The name of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Director, Women and Child Development department was not considered along with

her juniors on the ground that she failed to submit relevant documents, subsequently, the petitioner was promoted vide order dated 22.12.2022, but said order was communicated after her retirement. Thus, the order of promotion was issued prior to date of retirement, therefore, the petitioner shall be entitled to receive all benefits including salary and other allowances attached to the post with effect from 22.12.2022 till date of her retirement. The respondent authorities are directed to calculate the pension of the petitioner accordingly.

5. With the aforesaid observation(s), and direction(s), the instant petition is hereby **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Siddhant