



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37288 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-126 Year-2026 Thana- DAUDNAGAR District- Aurangabad

Alok Kumar Ranjan Son of Late Bhupendra Singh @ Upendra Prasad Singh
@ Upendra Mahto Resident of Village- Budhaura, P.S.- Alauli, District-
Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate

Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s :

Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 09-06-2026

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing

on behalf of the State.

2. The accused/petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 126 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 271, 272 of Bhartiya Nayay Sanhita 2023 and Sections 4, 18. 23 of the Pre Conception & Natal Diagnostic Techniques, Act 1994.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is to run the ultrasound clinic without valid license issued by competent authority.

4. It is submitted by Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur,





learned counsel appearing for petitioner that no complaint regarding misuse of ultrasound machine was made against this petitioner and in fact, the allegation suggest that the ultrasound machine was run under the supervision of a qualified MBBS doctor having expertise in radiological examination i.e. one Dr. Arvind Kumar Nanda, a Medical Officer of Sadar Hosptial, Jahanabad. It is submitted that the petitioner being the owner applied for license before concern authority but instead to to process application, the alleged raid was made and, thereafter, present F.I.R was lodged purely on basis of suspicion that in future the centre may be misused for prenatal detection. It is pointed out that no allegation or complaint *qua* prenatal detection is available against petitioner, who is a man of clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as *prima facie*, the allegation of prenatal detection is not available against this petitioner, who is a man of clean antecedent accordingly, above named petitioner, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the





learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Daudnagar/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 126 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

