

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO**

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 1058 OF 2026

Between:

L.Jaya Reddy, S/o. late Linga Raghava Reddy,

Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, through the SHO, PS EOW (Cyberabad)

Respondent No.1/Complainant

2. Md. Khadeer, S/o. Khaleel, R/o. 10-1-116, A.C.Guards, Masab Tank, Hyderabad

Respondent No.2/Informant

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri Katika Ravinder Reddy, presented this Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in Crime No.54 of 2025 of EOW Cyberabad P.S., Hyderabad against the petitioner/ Accused No.1 in the interest of justice;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed therein, and upon hearing the arguments of Sri E.Madan Mohan Rao, Senior Counsel representing Sri Katika Ravinder Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner, and the Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, Addl. Public Prosecutor, for Respondent No.1, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2 herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Md. Khadeer, S/o. Khaleel, R/o. 10-1-116, A.C.Guards, Masab Tank, Hyderabad

are directed to show cause on or before 23-02-2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

IA NO: 2 OF 2026:

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in Crime No. 54 of

2025 of PS EOW, Cyberabad including arrest of the petitioner/accused No.1, pending disposal of CrI.P. No. 1058 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following: ORDER:

Sri E. Madan Mohan Rao, learned Senior counsel representing Sri Katika Ravinder Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner has not committed the alleged offences and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Even according to the allegations made in the complaint, the ingredients of the offences under Sections 447, 420, 468, 471, and 120-B of the IPC are not attracted against the petitioner, as the petitioner has neither forged nor created any document, nor used any document with dishonest intention to claim the property. He further submitted that the only allegation made in the complaint is that the petitioner submitted documents vide Proc. No. D/181/2017, dated 14.02.2018, in respect of Sy. No. 494, and that the said document does not belong to the office of the Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal. He further submitted that in the proceedings issued by the Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, the petitioner is not a party. He further submitted that the succession proceedings were issued in favour of one Sri K. Prem Raj, and the said person approached this Court by filing W.P. No. 40799 of 2013 and batch, seeking implementation of the said proceedings against the revenue officials. This Court, by order dated 04.06.2019, dismissed the said writ petitions, holding that the parties are required to approach the competent civil court and seek redressal of their claims, ownership, and rights by way of declaratory relief. Aggrieved by the said order, Sri K. Prem Raj and others filed writ appeals vide W.A. Nos. 612 of 2019 and batch, which are pending before this Court. Though the crime was registered in the year 2018 based on the complaint lodged by respondent No. 2, the crime was subsequently transferred to the EOW Cyb (Cyberabad), and re-registered as Crime No. 54 of 2025. Hence, continuation of the proceedings against the petitioner is a clear abuse of process of law.

Taking into consideration the above submissions, this Court is of the view that detailed examination is required for adjudication of the case.

In view of the above, issue notice before admission.

Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and seeks short adjournment for getting instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 by way of Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service.

Post on 16.03.2026.

Till such time, there shall be an interim stay of all further proceedings in FIR No.54 of 2025 on the file of the EOW Cyb (Cyberabad), Cyberabad Commissionerate, insofar as the petitioner/accused No.1 is concerned. In default of filing proof of service, the interim stay shall stand cancelled.

Sd/- N.CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Prl. Junior Civil Judge cum Metropolitan Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District. at L.B. Nagar.. Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, PS EOW, Cyberabad.
3. Md. Khadeer, S/o. Khaleel, R/o. 10-1-116, A.C.Guards, Masab Tank. Hyderabad (BY SPEED POST along with a copy of petition and grounds)
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana. at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One CC to Sri Katika Ravinder Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)
6. One Spare Copy

HIGH COURT

JSR J

DATE: 02-02-2026

NOTE: POST ON 23-02-2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRL.P. NO. 1058 OF 2026



INTERIM STAY