



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT DHARWAD  
DATED THIS THE 12<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MAY 2026  
BEFORE  
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR**

**WRIT PETITION NO.103983 OF 2026 (GM-CC)**

**BETWEEN:**

SMT. ARATI D/O. CHANNAVEERAYYA MATH  
C/O. VIJAYAKUMAR HIREMATH,  
AGE: 54 YEARS, OCC: SERVICE,  
R/O. H.NO.46, PEARL BUILDING,  
PAVAN COLONY, 2<sup>ND</sup> CROSS,  
NEAR LAKSHMI NARAYAN TEMPLE,  
KALYAN NAGAR, DHARWAD-580003.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY B.HIREMATH, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GADAG  
AND PRESIDENT DISTRICT CASTE  
VERIFICATION COMMITTEE,  
GADAG-582101.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SMT. GIRIJA S.HIREMATH, HCGP)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTION THE RESPONDENT TO SUMMON THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR CIVIL RIGHTS AND ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE, BAGALKOT TO PRODUCE THE LOCAL INSPECTION REPORT CONDUCTED IN THE MATTER OF PETITIONER IN THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2021, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY; AND ETC.





THIS WRIT PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,  
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

**ORAL ORDER**

(PER: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR)

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned High Court Government Pleader for respondent.

2. The petitioner's father Channaveerayya was  
issued with Caste Certificate by Mala Jangama, Beda  
Jangama Buda Jangama Association on 21.10.1990 [vide  
Annexure-A]. The petitioner made an application for issuing  
of Beda Jangama Caste Certificate and it was rejected by  
the Tahasildar of Ron. The said Order was challenged in WP  
Nos.31735 to 31744/1993. In the meanwhile, the petitioner  
joined Corporation Bank now Union Bank. The said batch of  
writ petitions came to be disposed off with a direction to  
constitute a District Caste Verification Committee in order to  
verify and issue Beda Jangama Caste Certificate. In the  
year 2002, the respondent decided to cancel Beda Jangama  
Caste Certificate and it was challenged in an appeal, that



came to be allowed, remanding the matter back to the respondent [vide Annexure-C].

3. Again in the year 2023, the same was cancelled and an appeal has been preferred, which came to be allowed on 07.10.2024 remanding the matter back to the respondent with a direction to constitute a committee strictly in accordance with Rule 4 of the Karnataka SC, ST and OBC (Reservation of Appointment, etc) Rules, 1992 [*for short, 'Rules 1992'*] [vide Annexure-D].

4. On 23.04.2026, Tahasildar submitted a report and matter was posted for Orders. Thereafter, petitioner filed an application on 28.04.2026 seeking issuance of summons to the Deputy Inspector Civil Rights and Enforcement Directorate, Bagalkot, to produce the local inspection report which was conducted during February-2021 [vide Annexure-E and F].

5. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the report of CRE Cell of Bagalkot has not



been placed before the respondent and it requires to be considered by the respondent as per Rule 7 of Rules 1992. He further submits that he made an application to the Deputy Inspector Civil Rights and Enforcement, Bagalkot, for furnishing inspection report conducted in February-2021 and that application was made on 17.02.2022 [vide Annexure-G] and the said application has been sent by Registered Post. In spite of the said application, the Deputy Inspector Civil Rights and Enforcement, Bagalkot, has not furnished the said inspection report to the petitioner. He further submits that as the said report is not furnished to the petitioner, the petitioner made an application to the respondent on 28.04.2026 [vide Annexure-F] for issuance of summons to the Deputy Inspector Civil Rights and Enforcement, Bagalkot, to produce the local inspection report conducted in the matter of petitioner during February-2021. The grievance of the petitioner is that the said application has not been considered by the respondent.



6. Sub Rule 1 of Rule 7 of the Rules 1992 reads thus;

***7. Issue of Validity Certificate.***-(1) *After getting a report on a reference made under Rule 6-A, the Caste Verification Committee and the Caste and Income Verification Committee shall hold an enquiry after giving opportunity to the parties concerned."*

7. The respondent as per the said Rule has to consider the report, hold enquiry, give opportunity to the petitioner and pass Orders. Considering the said aspects, the said local inspection report conducted during February-2021, is required to be secure by the respondent before passing Orders. Hence, the respondent is directed to consider the application filed by the petitioner dated 28.04.2026 [Annexure-F] and pass Orders after giving an opportunity to the petitioner to make his submission with regard to the report secured from the Deputy Inspector Civil Rights and Enforcement, Bagalkot.



The learned High Court Government Pleader is directed to intimate the Order to the respondent immediately.

**Sd/-  
(SHIVASHANKAR AMARANAVAR)  
JUDGE**

PJ/CT:VH  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 12