



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, AT DHARWAD**

**DATED THIS THE 3<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF JUNE, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE**

**CRIMINAL PETITION NO.102873 OF 2025 (482(Cr.PC)/528(BNSS))**

**BETWEEN:**

SHREE BONNY S/O. SOMANATH KALAL,  
AGE. 32 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS,  
R/O. 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, SHREE SAI NILAYA,  
4<sup>TH</sup> CROSS, SHANTI COLONY,  
KAMALAPUR ROAD, DHARWAD.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. R.H. ANGADI, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

VISHWANATH S/O. SHIVABASU NAVALI,  
AGE. 31 YEARS, OCC. TAX CONSULTANCY,  
R/O. NO.43A/2E, 4<sup>TH</sup> MAIN CROSS,  
GANDHINAGAR, DHARWAD-580004.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. GIRISH V.BHAT, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 482 OF CR.P.C. (528 OF BNSS), PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER PASSED IN CRL. REVISION PETITION NO.93/2024, DATED 17.06.2025, BY THE III ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS AND SPECIAL JUDGE, DHARWAD, WHICH MODIFIED THE ORDER PASSED IN CC NO.2415/2023 DATED 15.07.2024 BY THE II ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, DHARWAD AND CONSEQUENTLY REJECT APPLICATION FILED UNDER SECTION 143A DATED 29.11.2022 IN CC NO.2415/2023 ON THE FILE OF II ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, DHARWAD TO MEET THE ENDS OF JUSTICE.





THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,  
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

**ORAL ORDER**

This petition is filed assailing the order dated 15.07.2024 in C.C.No.2415/2023 passed by the JMFC, Dharwad confirmed by the Sessions Judge, Dharwad on 17.06.2025 in Criminal Revision Petition No.93/2024 wherein in a proceeding under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, the Trial Court directed the petitioner to deposit 20% of the cheque amount.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has deposited 10% of the cheque amount pursuant to the order dated 01.08.2025 passed by this Court while entertaining the criminal petition. He would submit that the application filed at a belated stage for deposit is not maintainable.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent would oppose the petition on the premise that the petitioner/accused has not cross-examined the respondent - complainant and has taken



unnecessary adjournments, and that compelled the respondent to file an application for deposit of the amount.

4. It is noticed that the cheque amount is ₹5,45,000/-. The 20% of the cheque amount would come to around ₹1,00,000/-. Petitioner claims to have already deposited 10% before the Trial Court.

5. Under these circumstances, without entering into the merits of the respective contentions raised by the parties, the Court is of the view that, the Trial Court should proceed with the trial without insisting for balance 10% of the cheque amount.

6. The amount in deposit shall be kept in nationalized bank for a period of 1 year with auto renewal mandate and the successful parties are entitled to the said amount.

7. With the above, petition is **disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)**  
**JUDGE**

CLK  
CT: UMD  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 55