APHC010300282026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

AT AMARAVATI **[3311]**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Monday, the twenty second day of June Two Thousand and twenty six

Present

The Honourable Ms. Justice B. S. Bhanumathi

Writ Petition No. 15941 of 2026

Between:

Pothubanthi Rajubabu, S/o. late Maha Lakshmi, Aged about 70 years, M.Kotapadu village, V. Madugula Mandal, Anakapalli District.

…Petitioner

and

The State of Andhra Pradesh and others ...Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner:

1. T. V. Sri Devi

Counsel for the respondents:

1. G.P. for Revenue

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed seeking the following relief:

> "..to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in not deciding the petitioner's application in Rc. No. 890/2025/J(JA) for cancellation of gift deeds executed by the petitioner in favour of the respondents Nos. 5 to 8 vide documents Nos. 1054/22, 1055/22 and 1056/22, dated 29.03.2022 and document No. 1317 of 2021, dt.15.06.20221 forthwith by declaring them as void under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2003 as illegal, arbitrary, violative of Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and be pleased to pass such other order or orders that may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

3. Sri Pothubanthi Rajubabu, the writ petitioner herein, filed an application before the Maintenance Tribunal, Narsipatnam, i.e., the Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsipatnam, seeking cancellation of gift settlement deeds executed in favour of respondents Nos. 5 to 8 under the provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007.

4. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue placed on record a copy of written instructions of the respondent No.3 / Revenue Divisional officer and submitted that the Tribunal is ready to conclude the proceedings after providing a final opportunity to the absentee respondents and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

5. In view thereof, the learned counsel for the petitioner requested to dispose of the writ petition fixing time limit for passing orders, since more than enough time had lapsed after submission of application of the petitioner who is a senior citizen and penniless due to the transactions stated in the petition.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.3 to dispose of the application of the petitioner within one (1) month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

B. S. BHANUMATHI, J

Dt.22.06.2026

Note:- Issue C.C. by 25.06.2026
(B/o)
RAR