



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 13734 OF 2020 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SHRI B V SRINIVASA REDDY
S/O B VENKATASWAMY REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
2. SMT SHYALAJA
W/O MR.B V SRINIVASA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
3. SHRI B S VIJAY KUMAR
S/O MR.B V SRINIVASA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 32 YERAS,
4. SHRI B S VINAY KUMAR
MR.B V SRINIVASA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,
5. SMT B S ROOPASHREE
D/O B V SRINIVASA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 28 YERAS,

ALL ARE RESIDING AT
NO.343/3, 10TH MAIN,
100 FEET ROAD,
DODDABANASWADI,
KALYANA NAGARA POST,
BANGALORE-560043

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI P.P. HEGDE, SENIOR ADVOCATE FOR
SRI VENKATESH SOMAREDDI, ADVOCATE)





AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
SARJAPUR POLICE STATION
REPRESENTED BY THE
STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

2. MR SHASHIDHAR REDDY
S/O MR.KRISHNA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
R/A NO.112, PHASE-3,
PAVANS BRUNDAVANA LAYOUT
GANAHALLI VILLAGE
SARJAPUR,
BENGALURU - 562 125.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.SOWMYA R, HCGP FOR R1;
SMT.HARSHITHA MANI, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI AM SURESH REDDY, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 AND SECTION 482 OF THE CODE OF
CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973 PRAYING TO QUASH THE F.I.R.
REGISTERED AGAINST THE PETITIONERS IN CRIME NO.192/2020 OF
SARJAPUR POLICE STATION, BANGALORE, UNDER SECTION 143,
420, 504, 506, 149 OF IPC, WHICH IS PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-G
AND ETC.

THIS PETITION IS COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING 'B'
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI



ORAL ORDER

Challenging FIR in Crime no.192/2020 registered by Sarjapur Police Station, Bengaluru for offences punishable under Sections 143, 420, 504, 506 and 149 of IPC, this writ petition is filed.

2. Sri PP Hegde, learned Senior Advocate appearing for Sri Venkatesh Somareddi, learned counsel for petitioners filed a memo producing copy of order passed by Commercial Court, Bangalore, in Com.AP. no.121/2022 disposed on 18.07.2024 by accepting compromise petition between contesting parties herein and submitted that one of terms of compromise was that respondent no.2-complainant would withdraw allegations made against petitioners herein in above mentioned complaint in Crime no.192/2020. In view of compromise, continuation of proceedings would be futile if not abuse of process of Court and prayed for allowing petition.

3. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 affirms settlement and submits no objections for memo.



4. Learned HCGP appearing for respondent no.1-State would graciously submit no objection for disposal of petition in view of settlement.

5. This writ petition was filed challenging registration of Crime no.192/2020 (*'complaint'*). Averments in it as well as in this petition would indicate that there was a civil dispute between parties leading to filing of commercial dispute as well as filing of *complaint*. As per order produced along with memo filed today, during pendency of this petition staying *complaint*, parties reported compromise before Commercial Court. Compromise contains undertaking by respondent no.2-complainant to withdraw allegations made in *complaint*.

6. It is also seen that compromise between parties is at inception stage and dispute is purely *inter se* and does not have any societal bearings nor offences alleged are for heinous crimes. Continuation of proceedings would be abuse of process instead of securing ends of justice to parties. There would be no impediment to allow petition as per ratio laid down in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab** reported in **2012 (10) SCC 303**.



7. In view of above, petition is ***allowed***, FIR in Crime no.192/2020 registered by Sarjapur Police Station, Bengaluru, is *quashed*.

Sd/-
(RAVI V HOSMANI)
JUDGE

AV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 51