



2026:CGHC:15077

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRCA No. 474 of 2026**

- Ranjan Ojha S/o Shri Arvind Ojha Aged About 30 Years R/o Galaxy Colony, Sakri, P.S. Vidhansabha, Distt Raipur Chhattisgarh Adhar Card No. 7714-3569-1785

... Applicant(s)**versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer (S.H.O.) Police Station Vidhansabha, Distt Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant(s) : Mr. Devershi Thakur, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Smriti Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****01.04.2026**

1. This anticipatory bail application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.42/2026 registered at Police Station – Vidhansabha, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 376(2)(N), 506 of Indian Penal Code.
2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the applicant made sexual intercourse with the victim on the pretext of marriage, therefore the crime as mentioned above has been registered against the applicant.



3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant is that the present applicant and the victim were in a relationship since 13.03.2024 till December 2025 and were known to each other and she was a consenting party. It is further argued by learned counsel for the applicant is that victim is a major girl aged about 28 years. Further there was consensual relationship between them and when the relationship could not materialized she lodged FIR against the present applicant. He further submits that investigation and trial may take some more time, therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant.
4. Learned counsel for the State oppose the bail application of the applicant and submits that as per the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNS, in which she stated that victim and present applicant were in a relationship and they were known to each other since 2024 till December 2025 thereafter applicant made physical relation with her at different times, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of anticipatory bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, levelled against the present applicant and looking to the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNS, wherein she has admitted that the applicant and victim was in contact since 2024, victim is a major girl aged about 28 years old and she was consenting party. Therefore, without further commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.



7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant – **Ranjan Ojha**, on executing a personal bond and one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) The Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.

(b) The Applicant shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

(c) The Applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

(d) The Applicant and the surety shall submit a copy of their adhaar card alongwith a colored postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) The Applicant shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Vaishali