**HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI**

**MAIN CASE No. Writ Petition No.17571 of 2026**

**PROCEEDING SHEET**

| Sl. No. | DATE | ORDER | OFFICE NOTE |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 02.07.2026 | **SRK, J**<br><br>Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that 4th respondent, without jurisdiction, issued the provisional order dated 25.06.2026 under Sections 89(1) and 89(2) read with Sections 82 and 90(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Metropolitan Region and Urban Development Authority Act, 2016 (for short, "the APMR & UDA Act"), alleging that the petitioners are carrying on unauthorized construction in premises bearing Door/Plot Nos.40 and 41 in Survey No.682, situated at Datlavaripalem, H/o. Thalarlapalli Village.<br><br>Learned counsel further submits that a plain reading of Section 89(3) read with Sections 82 and 90(1) of the APMR & UDA Act makes it evident that the power to issue notice and thereafter pass a provisional order directing removal of unauthorized constructions is vested exclusively in the Metropolitan Commissioner/Vice-Chairperson.<br><br>It is, therefore, contended that 4th respondent, while purporting to exercise powers under the provisions of the APMR & UDA Act, has issued the impugned provisional order without any authority or jurisdiction, rendering the same wholly without jurisdiction.<br><br>**Contd.,** | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | The matter requires examination.<br>Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, there shall be *status-quo* pursuant to the impugned notice dated 25.06.2026 issued by the Respondent No.4, for a period of eight (8) weeks.<br>Post the matter on 13.08.2026.<br><br>**SRK, J**<br><br>**Note: Issue CC by today.**<br>**B/o. MS** | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |