


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20049/2025

1. Dr. Ganesh Lal Sharma S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad Sharma, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Plot No. 16-17 Chithwari Ka Bag, Ganga-Pole, Jaipur (Raj.), Pin -302002.
2. Dr. Samarveer Singh S/o Shri Rajbir Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o V/p-Boreli-Thakur Mohalla, Tehsil-Baseri, Boreli, District-Dholpur, Rajasthan, 328027.
3. Dr. Shailendra Gupta S/o Shri Ramavtar Gupta, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Sangam Bhawan Campus, Mahindra Nagar Baswa Road, Bandikui, Rajasthan - 303313
4. Dr. Neelam Dinodia D/o Makhan Lal Dinodia, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Param Hans Colony Court Road Chirawa, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan Pin Code 333026.
5. Dr. Abhishek Saini S/o Shri Girish Kumar Saini, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Holi Gate, Kothi Shah Ji Wali, Ward No. 8, Chomu City, Jaipur, Rajasthan. 303702
6. Dr. Mohammad Amirr Khan, S/o Shri Abdul Gaffar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o House No. 2-N-3, Mahavir Nagar-II, Kota City, District-Kota, Rajasthan, Pin Code 324005.
7. Dr. Nagendra Singh Shekhawat S/o Shri Dayal Singh Shekhawat, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Vill. And Post Dujod Tehsil Dhod District Sikar, Rajasthan 332002.
8. Dr. Nitesh Chand Sharma S/o Shri Mukesh Chand Sharma, Aged About 29 Years, R/o H.no. A/99 Babji Nagar, District-Baran Rajasthan.
9. Dr. Apurv Kaushik S/o Shri Sanjay Kaushik, Aged About 28 Years, R/o H. No. 149, Sector 16And17, Hisar, Haryana At Present Plot No. 16-17 Chithwari Ka Bag, Gangapole, Jaipur (Raj.), Pin -302002.
10. Dr. Shakti Singh S/o Shri. Pratap Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 1 Jori Patta, Satyam, Churu, Rajasthan Pin- 331304, At Present, Govindam Tower, Flat No 412 , Nindar Mod, Harmada, Jaipur - 302001
11. Dr. Heera Lal S/o Shri Mohan Lal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village -45 F, P.o. 43 F Baringa Teh.-Sri-Karanpur Distt.- Sri Ganganagar (Raj.) Pin-335073, At Present, C/o Chander Prakash Chagulani, House No 5D, Near Saint

Sophia School , Behind Housing Board, Shastri Nagar ,jaipur (Rajasthan) Pin Code 302016

12. Dr. Manisha Rathore D/o Shri Mahendra Singh Rathore, Aged About 32 Years, R/o House No.100, Dait Road Kumbhanagar, Chittaurgarh, Rajasthan, At Present C/o Jabar Singh Rathore,c 92 Samridhi Apartment, Babu Nagar, Jaipur 302001.
13. Dr. Rupali Shringi D/o Shri Rambabu Shringi, Aged About 36 Years, R/o H.no. 200, Subhash Nagar, In Front Of Navjeevan Sangh Gate No 1, Nainwa Road, Bundi, Rajasthan.
14. Dr. Sonu Shekhawat S/o Shri Vimal Singh Sekhawat, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No.41, Bagar Road, Moti Singh Ki Dhani, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan Pin- 333001
15. Dr. Nistha Yadav D/o Shri Jai Bhagwan Yadav, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Near Gas Pipe Line, Dabla Road, Kotputli Jaipur, Rajasthan, Pin Code 303108
16. Dr. Mangesh Kumar S/o Shri Durga Shankar Kumawat, Aged About 33 Years, R/o At Present C/o Rameshwar Prasad Kumawat, R.p. Textile, Link Road, Dakbel, Bagru, Jaipur.
17. Amit Kumar S/o Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma, Aged About 34 Years, R/o At Present C/o Umesh Ji Sharma, Shivaji Godara Colony, Near Godara Marriage Garden, Khatipura Road Jhotwara, Jaipur 302001.
18. Dr. Gaurav Sharma S/o Shri Gautam Sharma, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Bhanot Bhawan,ward No.18, Purani Abadi,near Mid Town-Plaza, Sri-Ganganagar At Present C/ o Dr. Navneet Sharma, Quarter 1/2, Medical College Campus, Kota Raj.
19. Dr. Sidhu Ajaypal S/o Shri Harjinder Singh, Aged About 30 Years, At Present R/o C/o Sayar Kanwar, Village - Dujod ,tehsil Sikar District - Sikar

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Animal Husbandry, Secretariat, Jaipur - 302001.
2. Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Through Its

Secretary, Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Ajmer
305001.

3. Department Of Personnel, Through The Principal
Secretary, Secretariat, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
302001.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Arvind Kumar Arora
Mr. Tushar Panwar
Mr. Satish Kumar Gurjar

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Pooja Sharma
Mr. Vishal kumar for
Mr. Manoj Sharma, AAG
Mr. Yuvraj Samant
Ms. Neha Amola
Mr. Tilak Vaid

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

19/02/2026

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with
following prayers:

"Therefore, it is humbly prayed that this Hon'ble
Court may be pleased to:

A. Issue a writ, order or direction to the respondents
in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing them
to desist from committing discrimination against the
petitioners whereby from the same select list
appointments are granted to some of the persons and
not to the petitioners.

B. Issue a writ, order or direction to the respondents
in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing the
respondents to grant appointment to the petitioners
who have recommended for appointment in pursuant
of the final select list as issued by the respondent
RPSC dated 03.08.2024 strictly in consonance with
the Animal Husbandry Rules, 1963.

C. Issue a writ, order or direction to the respondents
in the nature of mandamus, thereby directing them
to first issue the appointment orders of the
petitioners who are part of the select list and

recommended to be appointed in the 2019 recruitment and only thereafter proceed with the new recruitment process as initiated in 2025 for the post of Veterinary officer.

D. Pass any other order or direction in favour of the petitioners which this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the interest of justice, equity and good conscience."

2. It is stated that the recruitment process for the post of Veterinary Officer pursuant to advertisement dated 22.10.2019 was initiated under the Rajasthan Animal Husbandry Rules, 1963. Total 900 vacancies including the backlog of past year were notified with category-wise distribution. The advertisement provided that in case eligible candidates were not available in certain reserved categories, the vacancies would be filled through normal procedure. The prescribed qualification was Bachelor degree in veterinary science along with requisite knowledge of language.

3. The respondent-commission conducted screening and interview in terms of Rule 19 of the Rules of 1963. The petitioners were declared successful in the short-listing process on 26.11.2020, then they also participated in document verification and secured proper position in merit. The final result was declared on 03.08.2024, whereby 777 candidates were selected and recommended for appointment, including the petitioners, whose names figured in select list at different merit positions.

4. The grievance of the petitioners is despite recommendation in favour of the petitioners, the respondent-commission issued appointment orders to several similarly situated candidates but did not extend appointment to the petitioners without assigning any reasons. It is contended that similarly situated persons have been granted relief by Coordinate

Bench of this Court. The petitioners have also challenged the issuance of fresh advertisement dated 17.07.2025, alleging that the same adversely affects their appointment under the recruitment process of the year 2019.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that reply to the writ petition has been filed in which, it has been stated on account of interim orders dated 09.01.2025 & 07.03.2025 passed in S.B. CWP No. 8568/2024 and in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 126/2025, the petitioners could not be given appointment despite their selection.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the interim orders referred in the reply are not at all applicable in the instant case as the subject matter of the litigation as referred in the reply is altogether different.

7. Learned counsel for the Rajasthan Public Service Commission clarified that Hon'ble Supreme Court while passing an interim order in SLP (Civil) Nos. 23653-23655/2023 on 31.05.2024 has granted liberty to the respondents to declare the result and to issue appointment letters to the selected candidates. Hence, as per learned counsel for RPSC, there was no impediment in the way of issuing appointment letters to the selected candidates. Thereafter, the aforesaid SLP has also been dismissed vide order dated 2nd April, 2025.

8. In the light of above, when it is not under dispute that the petitioners have been selected and there is no objection with regard to his eligibility and where other similarly situated persons have already been appointed, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition by directing the respondents to

issue appointment order to the petitioners on the post of Veterinary Officer pursuant to advertisement dated 22.10.2019 along with all consequential benefits.

9. It is made clear that the petitioners shall not be entitled for actual monetary benefits however, they shall be granted notional benefits from the date on which, the persons similar situated qua the petitioners have already been appointed by the respondents. Necessary exercise shall be carried out within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J