



2026:CGHC:10240



2026:CGHC:10240

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2150 of 2026

Narendra Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Anglal Sahu, Aged About 43 Years
Posted And Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. Higher Secondary
School, Karga, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari C.G. ... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School
Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar,
Nawa Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District-
Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Director Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indrawati Bhawan,
Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

4 - Commissioner-Cum-Director Directorate Of Panchayat, Atal
Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)



5 - Joint Director Education Division, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

6 - District Education Officer Dhamtari, District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

7 - Chief Executive Officer District Panchayat, Dhamtari, District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

8 - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat, Kurud, District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

9 - Block Education Officer Block Kurud, District- Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Respondents

(Cause title taken from Case information System)

For Petitioners : Shri Ankush Soni, Advocate.

For Respondents/State : Shri Virendra Verma, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

27.02.2026

1. The Petitioner has filed this Petition for the following reliefs:-

“10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus quashing the impugned order dated 30.09.2025 (Annexure P/1) passed by respondent No.3.

10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of benefit of Kramonnati Vetanmaan on completion of 10 years of services in light of the circular dated 10.03.2017 issued by the State Govt, and order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in case of



Smt. Sona Sahu Va. State of Chhattisgarh vide order dated 28.02.2024.

10.3 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to grant all benefit of petitioner including the arrears of pay with 18% interest per annum from the date of completion of 10 years of services till the actual payment of Kramonnati Vetanmaan within the stipulated period of 30 days.

10.4 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to dispose of the instant writ petition with similar order passed in the case of Smt. Sona Sahu Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others in Writ Appeal No. 261/2023 order passed on 28.02.2024.

10.5 That, any other relief may also be granted to the petitioner which this Hon'ble Court deemed fit in facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel for Petitioner submits that Petitioner is entitled for *Kramonnati Vetanman* in terms of decision rendered in the matter of *Sona Sahu Vs.State of Chhattisgarh, W.A. No.261 of 2023*, decided on **28.02.2024**.

3. Learned State Counsel opposes the submission of learned Counsel for Petitioner and submits that case of the Petitioner is different than that of case of *Sona Sahu* (supra). Petitioner was earlier appointed on the post of Lecturer (Panchayat) and thereafter, he was absorbed in School Education Department pursuant to policy decision taken by State Government on 30.06.2018. It is further submitted that the Petitioner was not



appointed as regular Assistant Teacher by School Education Department but was appointed by District Panchayat and the coordinate Bench of this Court has considered the issue with regard to grant of *Kramonnati Vetanman* to such employee in *WPS No.11009 of 2025* and other batch of Writ Petitions and has dismissed the same.

4. Petitioner, upon asking, does not dispute the submission of learned State Counsel with respect to his initial appointment on the post of Lecturer (Panchayat) as also decision in WP(S) No.11009 of 2025.

5. The Coordinate Bench of this Court, while considering the batch of Writ Petitions lead case bearing WPS No.11009 of 2025 with regard to grant of *Kramonnati* to Petitioners therein, has dismissed the Writ Petitions and observed thus :-

“35. Considering the facts of the case that the petitioners till absorption in pursuance of the policy dated 30.06.2018 are not teachers of the School Education Department, they were shikshakarmis though their designation has been changed as Assistant Teacher (panchayat), Teacher (panchayat) and Lecturer (panchayat) respectively and they are governed by the separate rules framed under the Panchayat Raj Adhinyam, 1993, therefore, the petitioners are not fulfilling the criteria as laid down in the circular dated 10.03.2017, the bunch of the writ petitions are liable to be dismissed, accordingly, they are dismissed.”



2026:CGHC:10240

6. Since the facts of the present case are identical to those involved in WPS No. 11009 of 2025, which position is not disputed, this Petition is also **dismissed** in the same terms.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Priya