



2026:PHHC:032188

TA-52-2025

1

2026:PHHC:032188



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

TA-52-2025

Date of Decision: 27.02.2026

NARINDER KAUR DHILLON

....Applicant

Versus

RANJODH SINGH DHILLON

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

The applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, titled '*Ranjodh Singh Dhillon Vs. Narinder Kaur Dhillon*' (Annexure P-1), filed by the respondent-husband, pending in the Family Court, Tarn Taran and she seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

In pursuence of notice issued, the respondent made appearance through counsel. However, counsel for the respondent had made a statement that he does not want to file reply to the transfer application, though, he contests the same.

Counsel for the parties heard.



At the very outset, it is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis, had taken place on 20.02.2023. From the said wedlock, twin sons were born, who are presently in the care and custody of the applicant. Also, counsel submits that earlier, the children were taken away by the respondent, on which account, she was constrained to file habeas corpus petition i.e. CRWP-6803-2024, copy whereof is Annexure P-2. Only with the intervention of this Court, the custody of the children was returned by the respondent, to the applicant. Also, it is submitted that the applicant is not having any source of earning. Even, she has filed the petition under Section 144 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, which is pending in the Courts at Jalandhar. The distance between the two places is stated to be about 85 kms.

On the other hand, counsel for the respondent, though, admits about the custody of the children being with the applicant, but he submits that the petition under Section 144 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, which was earlier filed, has since been dismissed for want of prosecution. However, counsel for the applicant is not aware of the said status of the maintenance petition.

May it be so. One fact is evident that the applicant is not having any source of earning. Also, she is having custody of twin sons, who are about 2 years old. As such, these toddlers require personal care and attention of the mother. Considering this sole fact and watching the 'best interest' of the children, it is just and expedient to accept the application.

In view of the aforesaid fact situation, the transfer application is allowed and the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, titled



'Ranjodh Singh Dhillon Vs. Narinder Kaur Dhillon' (Annexure P-1), filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court, Tarn Taran, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court, Tarn Taran, to the District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, shall assign the said petition to the Family Court, Jalandhar. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court, Jalandhar, within a period of one month from today onwards.

27.02.2026
Himanshu Vats

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No